STEREOCHEMISTRY AND BIOGENESIS OF SERRATININE

Y. Inubushi, H. Ishii, B. Yasui and T. Harayama Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University,

Toyonaka, Osaka-fu, Japan

(Rooolvod 29 Jan- 1966)

In the prededing paper¹⁾ we proposed the structure (I) for serratinine which was isolated from Lycopodium serratum THUNB, var. Thunbergii MAKINO. In this communication the authors wish to present the stereostructure (IX) including the absolute **configuration of serratinine and alao refer to the hypothetical** biogenesis of this alkaloid.

On von Braun degradation diacetylserratinine^{*} (I_n)^{*1} gave a neutral substance, cyanobromide (II), m.p. 200-202°*², C₂₁H₂₀0₅ **N**_nBr^{*3}; ν max 2188 (N-CN), 1739 and 1729 cm⁻¹ ($>$ C=O) in good yield. Treatment of (II) with alkali furnished a ketal (III), **m.p.** 239-240? $C_{17}H_{24}O_3N_2$, ν max 3509 (OH) and 2188 cm⁻¹ (N-CN), no carbonyl band. Participation of the C₁₃ hydroxyl group in this ketal formation was demonstrated by the formation of an

1551

^{*1} Physical constants and preparation of the compound marked with an asterisk in this paper appeared in the preceding communication.

l **2 All melting point8 were obaexvod on a Kofler type microscope** hotstage and are uncorrected.

l **3 All compounds given by formulaegave correct elementary analy8ea.**

anhydroketal (IV), m.p. 175-178°, $C_{17}H_{22}O_2N_2$, on treatment of the ketal (III) with POCl₂ in pyridine. In the NMR spectrum^{"4} of (IV), the two signals at 4.37 (1H, m., olefinic proton) and **8.29 T** (3H, s., vinyl methyl) showed that the free **hydroxyl in (III) must be located on the carbon atom (C₈)** adjacent to the secondary methyl group. Another support **came from the following finding. Thus, oxidation of (III)** with CrO₃-pyridine gave dehydroketal (V), m.p. 284-285[°], $C_{17}H_{22}O_3N_2$, ν_{max} 1692 cm⁻¹ (>c=0), NMR 5.8-6.2 (3H, m.>CH-0-**+-o-W,-). On the other hand, successive treatments of monoacetylserratinine II^{*} (Ib) with Jones' reagent and von Braun degradation gave dehydrocyanobromide (VI), m.p. 169-172':** $C_{19}H_{25}O_4N_2Br$, ν_{max} 2193 (N-CN), 1745, 1733, 1698 cm⁻¹ (>c-0) **which on treatment with alkali afforded dehydroketal (V).**

Although no evidence has been presented that von Braun degradation might cause the cleavage of ring D, a considera**tion of the steric limitation for ketal formation led to the conclusion th&t the structure of the ketal should be represented by the formula (III).**

Because of this ready ketal formation, the A/B ring **junction must be cis, the participating hydroxyl group at C 13 being axially situated with respect to the ring A as shown in the formula (VII).**

Oxidation of monoacetylsarratinine II* (Ib) with Jones'

^{*4} All NMR spectra were taken on a Varian A-60 machine in CDCl₃ with SiMe₄ as an internal standard by Dr. T.
Shingu,³Kyoto University, to whom we express our **thanks.**

reagent afforded the sole oxidation product^{*5}, dehydromono**acetylserratinine II^{*}** (Ib : C_A, $>$ C=O); C₁₃, -OAc) in good **yield, which upon NaBH4 reduction, followed by hydrolysis gave a mixture showing two spots on thin layer chromatography. On.chromatographic purification of this epimeric mixture on** alumina with CHCl₃, the first eluted component was found to **be identical with serratinine in all respects. Subsequent** elution with AcOEt gave another crystalline compound, 8-epi**serratinine** (VIII=Ic), m.p. 234-237°, $C_{16}H_{25}O_3N$, ν_{max} 3520, 3340 (OH) and 1737 cm⁻¹ ($>$ C=0). The ratio of serratinine **and 8-episerratinine was about l:2.5. These two products** must be epimeric at C_R only, since they were oxidized with Jones' reagent to give the same product, a triketone^{*}. The **difference in the chromatographic behavior of two epimeric** alcohols, permits to assume that the conformation of C_a hydro**xyl group in serratinine would be axial, that of C_A hydroxyl group in 8-episerratinine being equatorial with respect to ring A.**

This deduction was also confirmed by the spectral means. Acetylation of (VIII=Ic) gave monoacetyl 8-episerratinine (Id,?, m'.p. 220.5-221", C1aH2704N* **"max 3270 (OH), 1747 and**

^{*5} The reaction product showed virtually one spot on thin layer chromatography.

^{*6} That an introduced acetyl group in monoacetyl 8-epi-

serratinine must be at C_B was based on the fact that de-

hydromonoacetyl 8-episerratinine, m.p. 169-170°C_{l R}H₂₅O₄ **N (C₁₃,>C=O; R₃=OAc in Id) derived from monoacetyl 8-epi**
serratinine (Id) by oxidation with Jones' reagent, was
not identical with both dehydromonoacetylserratinine I* in Ia) and dehydromonoacetylserra
R₂=OAc in Ib).

1732 cm⁻⁺ (>C=O), NMR 5.17 (1H, clean q., J₁= 5.5 c.p.s., J₂ N 11 **c.p.s., >CH-OAc), 6.48 (1H, m.,>CH-OH), 7.96 (3H, s.,** OAc). **The eignal attributable to a proton gominal to an acetoxyl group in monoacatyleerratinine I* (Ia) appeared at 4.94 z ae a rather sharp multiplet (half-width, 5 c;p.e.) a8** constrasted to that in monoacetyl 8-episerratinine (Id) **suggeeting the aeeignbd conformation to the proton concerned.**

On the IR spectra of acetatee, it h&s been well known that the band due to the C-O etreching vibration at 1200- 1250 cm⁻¹is simple for the equatorial epimers but consists of two or three peaks for the axial substituents²⁾. **Comparable results have been also obtained by Burnell et al. 3) in acetates of lycopodium alkaloide. The IR spectra of two epimeric acetates from eerratinine ehored that the** assigned conformations are quite reasonable.

The assignment of equatorial conformation to the second**ary methyl group in eerratinine came from the NMR epectro**scopic findings. In comparison of the chemical shift of **methyl group in diaaetyl benzylidene aerratinine' with tHat**

of this group in derivatives of serratinine, a high field shift (ca. 0.3-0.4 p.p.m.) in the former, which would be asc**ribed to the anisotropic effect of a benzene ring, was obser**ved. A Dreiding model of diacetyl benzilidene serratinine **showed that only the equatorial methyl has the hydrogens** favorably situated for long range shielding effect of the **benzene ring.**

The ansigned conformation of methyl group in serratinine was also supported by another finding. The calculated values for J_2 and J_2 corresponding to the dihedral angles, $\theta = 45^\circ$ and $\theta = 170^\circ$ are 4 c.p.s. and 11 c.p.s., respectively⁴) and the observed coupling constants in the NMR spectrum of (Id) were $J_1 = 5.5$ c.p.s. and $J_0 = 11$ c.p.s.. The coupling constant $J_1 = 5.5$ c.p.s. for $\theta = ca$. 45°should be allocated **to JHamHb in the formula (VIII)** , **since the A/B cia junction has been eatabliahed. This indicated, in turn, that the** coupling constant J_{p} = 11 c.p.s. must be assigned to $J^{H}b^{-}$ for $\theta = 170^{\circ}$. Since the axial conformation of C_g-H_b in **(Vlll) has been established, it can be deduced that the con**formation of C₁₅-CH₃ should be equatorial. This deduction **would be applicable to the conformational assignment of the methyl group in serratinine, because it would be hardly considered that the equatorial methyl group in (VIII) has resulted from epimerization of that group in serratinine.**

The cis relationship of C_4-N and $C_{12}-C_{13}$ bond with **respect to ring B was shown by pKa' measurements '7 on two**

l **7 ~~!1;11uea were measured in l/10 N-H SO (1 ml)- EtOH** (5 ml) - H₂O (4 ml) solvent system by^mtitration with
1/1O N- NaoH solution.

$$
(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X})
$$

 (χ)

1557

pairs of the following compounds: serratinine (pKa'7.0) and diacetylserratinine (pKa'5.3), Δ pKa' 1.7; deoxoserratinine $(pKa' 10.9)$ and diacetyldeoxoserratinine $(pKa' 9.8)$, $\Delta pKa'$ **1.1. These differences of pXa' values suggested the forw mation of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the C 13 hydroxyl group and protonated nitrogen atom.**

Thus, it can be concluded that the stereostructure of **merratinine is represented by the formula (IX) or its mirror image.**

Applic_ation of the benzoate rule⁵⁾ to monoacetylserratinine II^{*}, $[\alpha]_n^{23}$ -25.6^o (EtOH) and 8-0-benzoyl-monoacetyl**serratinine II**, **m.p.** 134-135°, $C_{25}H_{31}O_5N$, $\nu_{max}1742$, 1700 $(5c=0)$ and 1600 $cm^{-1}(aromatic)$, $[\alpha]_D^{23} + 14.63^{\circ}$ (EtOH); $[M]_D$ $(benzoate) + 62.3^{\circ} - [M]_n$ (ol) $-82.4^{\circ} = 144.7^{\circ}$, led to the conclusion that the absolute configuration at C₈ asymmetric **center is S-form.**

Consequently, the absolute stereostructure of serratinine is represented by the formula (IX).

This assignment of the absolute configuration was consistent with the strong positive Cotton effect (RD in MeOH, $[\hat{P}]_{273}$ -1023° (trough), $[\hat{P}]_{308}$ +3735° (peak); a= +47.58) of the ketone^{*8}(X), m.p. 81-85°, $C_{16}H_{25}$ ^{ON} whose Octant projection shown by (XI) should give a positive Cotton curve.

It has been suggested by Conroy 6) that the skeleton inherent in lycopodium alkaloids, such as lycopodine and lycodoline (XII; no OH at C_8), might owe to the condensation **of two eight-carbon polyacetate straight chains.**

l **8 Details** of **preparation of this ketone will be reported in the full paper.**

If lycodoline or a close derivative is the precursor of serratinine in the plant, serratinine could be visualised to aride **by the supposed transformation from lycodoline type alkaloid as shown in Chart, although the sequence is somewhat uncertain. This assumption appears reasonable since lycodoline was isolated from the plant together with serratinine, and the pro**posed absolute configuration of serratinine coincides with **that of an alkaloid which might be expected to arise from the lycodoline type alkaloids by the supposed transformation.** The hydroxyl group at C_R in serratinine does not seem to be **unaccountable, since the oxygen functiomin lycopodium** alkaloids are commonly found at C_5 , C_8 and C_{12} , especially, **lycofawcine⁷⁾ possessing three oxygen functions at** C_5 **,** C_8 and C₁₂. Of course, while the scheme on this biogenetical **transformation is plausible enough** , it **will require the experimental support.**

REFERENCES

- 1. **The preceding communication, Tetrahedron Letters in press.**
- 2. N. J. Jones,P. Humphries, F. Herling and K. Dobriner, <u>J.</u>
<u>Amer. Chem</u>. <u>Soc. 73</u>, 3215 (?951); A. Fürst, H. H. Kuhn,
R. Scotoni jr. and Hs. H. Günthard, Helv. Chim. Acta. 35 **W;5L;952); H. Hirshmann, .**
- **3.R. H. Burnell and D. R. Taylor, Chem.** <u>**Tetrahedron 15, 173 (1961); ibid</u>**</u>
- **4. H. Conroy, Advances in Organic Chemistry, Vol II, p.310, Interscience publisher, Inc., New York. (1960).**
- 5. J. H. Brewster, Tetrahedron 13, 106 (1961).
- **6. H. Conroy, Tetrahedron Letters No. 10, 34 (1960).**
- **7. W. A. Ayer, W. R. Bowman and P. Kebarle, Can. J. C. 43.** 328 (1965).